



# *Examining Scope Management through Two Business Intelligence System Implementations*

*– Chris Veros*

PMI Lunch

September 25, 2002



[www.promitus.com](http://www.promitus.com)



## *Two BI Project Dimensions*

- ❖ Product previously procured for past requirements
- ❖ 9 Months
- ❖ > \$1M
- ❖ 2,000+ internal Users
- ❖ Accessed via Corporate Intranet
- ❖ 6 month Product RFP
- ❖ 4 months for initial phase
- ❖ > \$500K
- ❖ Beginning with 50 internal Users, scaling up to 500 Users
- ❖ Accessed via Corporate Intranet



## *First, what do we mean by a “Business Intelligence System”?*

- ❖ Datawarehouse?
- ❖ Decision Support?
- ❖ OLAP?
- ❖ Balanced Scorecard?
- ❖ Performance Measurement?
- ❖ Web Portal?
- ❖ All of the above? (Wrong Answer)



## *Understanding the Business Drivers*

- ✚ Need for flexible, quick ad-hoc reporting;
  - ▣ OLAP is really for super-users.
- ✚ Need for more user-friendly reporting;
  - ▣ “Nobody Uses our Financial System’s Reporting”;
  - ▣ “No one outside of Finance can figure out what reports to run”.



## *Understanding the Business Drivers*

- Need for On-Demand Reporting;
  - Easy, Quick Access, Integrating Many Sources.
- Need for Fresher Reports;
  - Information Up-to-Date as of Yesterday.
- Executive Reporting;
  - Information Rich vs. Data Rich.
  - Communicates a Result at a Glance.



## *Find the Right Tools for the Job*

- ✚ Careful due diligence on software solutions – Avoid the hype;
- ✚ Stay Mindful of Future Requirements;
  - ▣ Long-Term Strategy for Investment Protection
- ✚ Buying Hardware and Software is important, **but completely understanding the implementation costs is most important.**



# *Find the Right Tools for the Job*

## ✚ Understanding the Technology Strategy:

- ▣ ERP Vendors have their bolt-on solutions;
- ▣ Different Market Leaders for meeting Different Business Drivers;
- ▣ Leading Edge vs. “Bleeding Edge”.

**More Importantly.....**



## *Information Analysis Comes First*

### ✿ Is the Required Information Clearly Defined?

- ▣ Sources are clearly mapped;
- ▣ Key Indicators are clearly defined;
- ▣ Do the pieces of reporting information map properly to each other vs. “comparing apples to oranges”.

### ✿ The Best Tools in the World cannot answer these challenges for you.





## *Suggested Approach*

1. Determine Key Business Driver(s);
  - If Project must have many, consider it a Program, and plan accordingly
2. Detail Data Requirements;
  - Ensure that these can be met
3. Conduct High-Level Reporting Requirements;
4. Review Solution Options;



## *Suggested Approach*

### 5. Determine, Compare Total Implementation Costs;

- Hardware      • Support / Upgrade
- Software      • Operating / Maintenance
- Training      • Internal & Consulting People

### 6. Procure Tools, Implement Infrastructure;

### 7. Initiate Implementation Project.



# *Implementation Methodology*

- ✚ Three Major Project Streams running concurrently:
  - ✚ Data Requirements;
  - ✚ Reporting Requirements, including Delivery and Presentation;
  - ✚ Technology Solution Development & Implementation.



## *Implementation Methodology*

- Have extended team(s) with a complete cross-section of end-users to work on first two streams:
  - Data Requirements;
  - Reporting Requirements, including Delivery and Presentation.
- Teams meet regularly i.e. every 2 weeks.



## *Implementation Methodology*

- Data Requirements methodology should be more structured i.e. waterfall, data model, architecture;
- Reporting methodology – Most tools today lend themselves to Prototyping;
  - Reports                      ■ Presentation
  - Graphs                     ■ Web Portal
- Frequently Involve the User Teams.



## *Additional Considerations*

- ✚ Different stakeholders have different, almost contradictory interests – keep referring back to the project's key business driver(s);
- ✚ Common Look & Feel with other Departmental Web Applications i.e. GOL;
- ✚ Bilingualism;
- ✚ Group Requirements and create fewer, faster release dates i.e. 4 per year;
- ✚ Corporate Technology Architecture, Standards.



# *An Emerging BI Maturity Model*

## Stage I

- ✚ The organization makes the move to a decision-support, datawarehousing solution to provide operational reporting outside a transactional system.
- ✚ The key benefit sought by clients at this stage is greater access to more timely information, and performance relief on the transactional system.



# *An Emerging BI Maturity Model*

## Stage II

- ✚ The organization begins to create a datawarehouse that seamlessly integrates data from multiple source systems e.g. Peoplesoft HR, Influatec's Salary Management System, SAP Financials, a Grants & Contributions Systems, etc.
- ✚ The key benefit sought by clients at this stage is timely amalgamation and reconciliation of data from several sources.





# *An Emerging BI Maturity Model*

## Stage III

- ✚ The transactional datawarehouse evolves into an executive-level performance measurement system, perhaps containing metadata - “a datawarehouse of the datawarehouse”.
- ✚ The major benefit sought by clients at this stage is to quickly translate data-rich reporting into more information-rich reporting, and providing executives this key information in two or three mouse-clicks.



# *An Emerging BI Maturity Model*

## Stage IV

- ✚ Relatively few clients are at this stage of maturity. This stage entails using Internet and interface capabilities to dynamically link to third-party information sources and integrate this information with internal information in real-time.
- ✚ One key sector making great strides in this area is the Justice, Police, and Correctional Services clients where sharing information across jurisdictions is a critical mandate.



*Thank You*



Question & Answer Period